


Q1 Which age range do you fit into?

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses	
<18	0.00%	0
18 - 29	0.92%	1
30 - 44	10.09%	11
45 - 59	38.53%	42
60+	50.46%	55
Prefer not to answer	0.00%	0
Total		109

Q2 Please enter how many people currently live in your household:

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0

Answer Choices	Average Number	Total Number	Responses
Adults	2	252	108
Pre-School Children (0-4 years old)	0	5	25
Primary School Children (~4-11 years old)	1	25	35
Secondary School Children (~11-18 years old)	1	26	39
Total Respondents: 109			

#	Adults	Date
1	4	8/10/2015 9:11 PM
2	2	8/10/2015 9:04 PM
3	2	8/10/2015 6:28 PM
4	2	8/10/2015 6:02 PM
5	2	8/10/2015 4:22 PM
6	2	8/10/2015 4:09 PM
7	6	8/10/2015 1:42 PM
8	3	8/10/2015 1:00 PM
9	1	8/10/2015 12:25 PM
10	5	8/10/2015 12:19 PM
11	1	8/10/2015 11:48 AM
12	3	8/10/2015 11:35 AM
13	2	8/10/2015 11:21 AM
14	2	8/10/2015 11:01 AM
15	2	8/10/2015 10:43 AM
16	2	8/10/2015 10:29 AM
17	2	8/10/2015 10:28 AM
18	2	8/10/2015 10:25 AM
19	3	8/10/2015 9:34 AM
20	2	8/10/2015 9:30 AM
21	2	8/10/2015 9:28 AM
22	1	8/10/2015 9:19 AM
23	2	8/10/2015 9:11 AM
24	2	8/10/2015 9:09 AM
25	2	8/10/2015 9:03 AM
26	2	8/10/2015 8:43 AM
27	2	8/10/2015 8:37 AM
28	3	8/10/2015 7:53 AM
29	2	8/10/2015 6:21 AM
30	2	8/9/2015 10:48 PM
31	2	8/9/2015 10:43 PM

32	2	8/9/2015 10:04 PM
33	2	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
34	2	8/9/2015 9:45 PM
35	3	8/9/2015 9:37 PM
36	1	8/9/2015 9:33 PM
37	2	8/9/2015 9:29 PM
38	2	8/9/2015 9:23 PM
39	2	8/9/2015 9:19 PM
40	2	8/9/2015 9:11 PM
41	2	8/9/2015 7:59 PM
42	2	8/9/2015 7:59 PM
43	2	8/9/2015 7:53 PM
44	3	8/9/2015 7:42 PM
45	3	8/9/2015 7:34 PM
46	2	8/9/2015 7:29 PM
47	4	8/9/2015 7:24 PM
48	3	8/9/2015 7:20 PM
49	2	8/9/2015 7:13 PM
50	2	8/9/2015 7:06 PM
51	2	8/9/2015 7:00 PM
52	3	8/9/2015 6:59 PM
53	4	8/9/2015 6:58 PM
54	2	8/9/2015 6:56 PM
55	4	8/9/2015 6:55 PM
56	2	8/9/2015 6:44 PM
57	2	8/9/2015 6:43 PM
58	3	8/9/2015 6:23 PM
59	3	8/9/2015 6:21 PM
60	2	8/9/2015 6:16 PM
61	2	8/9/2015 6:14 PM
62	2	8/9/2015 6:13 PM
63	2	8/9/2015 6:08 PM
64	2	8/9/2015 5:59 PM
65	2	8/9/2015 5:53 PM
66	4	8/9/2015 5:53 PM
67	2	8/9/2015 5:48 PM
68	2	8/9/2015 5:21 PM
69	2	8/9/2015 4:10 PM
70	1	8/9/2015 3:37 PM
71	2	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
72	2	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
73	2	8/9/2015 3:34 PM
74	2	8/9/2015 3:33 PM
75	4	8/9/2015 3:32 PM

76	2	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
77	2	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
78	2	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
79	2	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
80	2	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
81	2	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
82	2	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
83	2	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
84	2	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
85	2	8/9/2015 3:28 PM
86	2	8/9/2015 3:25 PM
87	2	8/9/2015 3:25 PM
88	4	8/9/2015 3:24 PM
89	2	8/9/2015 3:24 PM
90	2	8/9/2015 3:20 PM
91	4	8/9/2015 3:10 PM
92	2	8/9/2015 3:08 PM
93	2	8/9/2015 3:08 PM
94	3	8/9/2015 3:06 PM
95	2	8/9/2015 3:05 PM
96	4	8/9/2015 3:04 PM
97	4	8/9/2015 3:03 PM
98	2	8/9/2015 3:03 PM
99	2	8/9/2015 3:02 PM
100	4	8/9/2015 3:01 PM
101	2	8/9/2015 3:00 PM
102	2	8/9/2015 3:00 PM
103	2	8/9/2015 2:59 PM
104	2	8/9/2015 2:57 PM
105	2	8/9/2015 2:56 PM
106	1	8/9/2015 2:56 PM
107	3	8/9/2015 2:55 PM
108	2	8/9/2015 2:54 PM
#	Pre-School Children (0-4 years old)	Date
1	0	8/10/2015 11:21 AM
2	0	8/10/2015 10:43 AM
3	0	8/10/2015 9:30 AM
4	0	8/10/2015 9:11 AM
5	0	8/10/2015 8:43 AM
6	0	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
7	2	8/9/2015 9:45 PM
8	0	8/9/2015 7:42 PM
9	0	8/9/2015 7:29 PM
10	0	8/9/2015 7:00 PM


11	0	8/9/2015 6:44 PM
12	0	8/9/2015 6:43 PM
13	0	8/9/2015 4:10 PM
14	0	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
15	2	8/9/2015 3:33 PM
16	0	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
17	0	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
18	0	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
19	1	8/9/2015 3:24 PM
20	0	8/9/2015 3:10 PM
21	0	8/9/2015 3:06 PM
22	0	8/9/2015 3:02 PM
23	0	8/9/2015 3:01 PM
24	0	8/9/2015 3:00 PM
25	0	8/9/2015 2:57 PM
#	Primary School Children (~4-11 years old)	Date
1	1	8/10/2015 6:28 PM
2	0	8/10/2015 11:21 AM
3	0	8/10/2015 10:43 AM
4	0	8/10/2015 9:30 AM
5	0	8/10/2015 9:11 AM
6	0	8/10/2015 8:43 AM
7	1	8/9/2015 10:09 PM
8	1	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
9	5	8/9/2015 7:59 PM
10	1	8/9/2015 7:42 PM
11	0	8/9/2015 7:29 PM
12	0	8/9/2015 7:00 PM
13	1	8/9/2015 6:59 PM
14	0	8/9/2015 6:44 PM
15	0	8/9/2015 6:43 PM
16	2	8/9/2015 5:59 PM
17	1	8/9/2015 5:53 PM
18	0	8/9/2015 4:10 PM
19	2	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
20	0	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
21	1	8/9/2015 3:33 PM
22	2	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
23	0	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
24	1	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
25	0	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
26	1	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
27	2	8/9/2015 3:24 PM
28	0	8/9/2015 3:10 PM

29	1	8/9/2015 3:06 PM
30	0	8/9/2015 3:02 PM
31	0	8/9/2015 3:01 PM
32	0	8/9/2015 3:00 PM
33	0	8/9/2015 2:57 PM
34	1	8/9/2015 2:55 PM
35	1	8/9/2015 2:54 PM
#	Secondary School Children (~11-18 years old)	Date
1	2	8/10/2015 6:28 PM
2	2	8/10/2015 4:09 PM
3	0	8/10/2015 11:21 AM
4	1	8/10/2015 11:01 AM
5	0	8/10/2015 10:43 AM
6	0	8/10/2015 9:30 AM
7	0	8/10/2015 9:11 AM
8	0	8/10/2015 8:43 AM
9	2	8/10/2015 8:37 AM
10	1	8/10/2015 6:21 AM
11	1	8/9/2015 10:48 PM
12	2	8/9/2015 10:09 PM
13	2	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
14	1	8/9/2015 7:42 PM
15	0	8/9/2015 7:29 PM
16	0	8/9/2015 7:00 PM
17	0	8/9/2015 6:44 PM
18	0	8/9/2015 6:43 PM
19	2	8/9/2015 5:53 PM
20	0	8/9/2015 4:10 PM
21	0	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
22	0	8/9/2015 3:33 PM
23	0	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
24	0	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
25	2	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
26	1	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
27	0	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
28	1	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
29	1	8/9/2015 3:28 PM
30	0	8/9/2015 3:24 PM
31	0	8/9/2015 3:10 PM
32	1	8/9/2015 3:06 PM
33	1	8/9/2015 3:03 PM
34	0	8/9/2015 3:02 PM
35	0	8/9/2015 3:01 PM
36	0	8/9/2015 3:00 PM

37	2	8/9/2015 3:00 PM
38	0	8/9/2015 2:57 PM
39	1	8/9/2015 2:54 PM

Q3 How long have you lived in Mayford?


Answered: 109 Skipped: 0



#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q4 How satisfied are you that the following satisfy what you would want within Mayford itself:

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0



	Not at all satisfied(significant changes in Mayford required)	Slightly satisfied(large changes in Mayford desired)	Moderately satisfied(some changes in Mayford desired)	Very satisfied(few changes in Mayford desired)	Completely satisfied(no changes in Mayford desired)	Total	Weighted Average
Community Green Spaces (Commons, Heaths, etc)	0.00% 0	1.83% 2	11.93% 13	53.21% 58	33.03% 36	109	4.17
Community Buildings (Village Hall, Church, etc)	0.00% 0	1.83% 2	10.09% 11	44.95% 49	43.12% 47	109	4.29
Pubs/Restaurants	7.34% 8	14.68% 16	26.61% 29	25.69% 28	25.69% 28	109	3.48
Coffee Shops/Cafes	11.01% 12	13.76% 15	24.77% 27	17.43% 19	33.03% 36	109	3.48
Local Produce Shops (Butchers, Bakers)	17.43% 19	16.51% 18	29.36% 32	14.68% 16	22.02% 24	109	3.07
Retail Shops	9.17% 10	10.09% 11	17.43% 19	17.43% 19	45.87% 50	109	3.81
Health Care Facilities (Dentists, Doctors)	17.43% 19	20.18% 22	16.51% 18	20.18% 22	25.69% 28	109	3.17
Pre-School/Nursery/Day Care Facilities	7.34% 8	7.34% 8	17.43% 19	16.51% 18	51.38% 56	109	3.97
Primary Schools	5.50% 6	10.09% 11	21.10% 23	8.26% 9	55.05% 60	109	3.97
Secondary Schools	10.09% 11	11.01% 12	19.27% 21	8.26% 9	51.38% 56	109	3.80
Outdoor Sports & Recreation Facilities	3.67% 4	3.67% 4	18.35% 20	19.27% 21	55.05% 60	109	4.18
Indoor Sports & Recreation Facilities	3.67% 4	5.50% 6	16.51% 18	18.35% 20	55.96% 61	109	4.17
Recycling Facilities	7.34% 8	11.01% 12	16.51% 18	24.77% 27	40.37% 44	109	3.80
Bus Services	16.51% 18	15.60% 17	31.19% 34	16.51% 18	20.18% 22	109	3.08
Train Services	3.67% 4	11.01% 12	19.27% 21	28.44% 31	37.61% 41	109	3.85
Pedestrian Access (Pavements, Street Lighting)	11.93% 13	18.35% 20	27.52% 30	20.18% 22	22.02% 24	109	3.22
Road Network	5.50% 6	16.51% 18	29.36% 32	17.43% 19	31.19% 34	109	3.52
Cycle Paths	11.93% 13	22.94% 25	18.35% 20	13.76% 15	33.03% 36	109	3.33
Car Garages, Car Washes & Petrol Stations	4.59% 5	9.17% 10	13.76% 15	14.68% 16	57.80% 63	109	4.12


#	If you wish, please provide any supporting comments for your choices, or describe anything not listed above that does not meet your needs:	Date
1	Only need one car wash	8/10/2015 6:32 PM
2	I appreciate there are few, if any, coffee shops and cafes but if it means even more litter I'm against them	8/10/2015 11:49 AM
3	There is a desperate need for a pedestrian crossing in order to safely cross the Egley Road to get to our post office and other local facilities.	8/10/2015 11:40 AM
4	Preference for the newly acquired Havering farm land would be a country park retaining it's haven as a wildlife refuge but giving people limited pathway access	8/10/2015 11:09 AM

5	Re the last category I was unsure how to represent my views that I DON'T want any further car washes, car garages or petrol stations in Mayford - i.e. do I tick completely satisfied because that means I am satisfied that there are sufficient car washes, petrol stations etc in Mayford or do I tick not at all satisfied because I don't want any further car washes, garages or petrol stations? So I have ticked Not at all satisfied but please amend as necessary to represent my views. Thank you.	8/10/2015 9:49 AM
6	There are too many car washes. The Guildford Road car wash looks appalling and is a noise disturbance and should have had some planning conditions applied to reduce its impact on the neighbourhood.	8/10/2015 9:34 AM
7	Pavement along Prey Heath road with better lighting would be great. Very dangerous walking to and from Worplesdon station.	8/10/2015 8:37 AM
8	We need either to expand Worplesdon or add new intermediate station between worplesdon and Woking.	8/9/2015 9:35 PM
9	We use Mayford post office daily, and Jay does a great job in keeping such a facility going which is important to the community. However if there was an opportunity to have a larger village general store stocking local fresh produce I think that would be a benefit. Unfortunately Jays shop is just too small to expand his offer so I'm not sure how we could do that. I certainly wouldn't want to put him out of business, but wish he could have more space somehow!	8/9/2015 9:34 PM
10	Mayford is lacking amenities in the form of local produce shops. By this I mean a butcher or a fishmonger. This would create more of a community hub. There is no real centre to the village which means it can seem a bit 'nothingy'. The part of Mayford that is near the Mayford arms, in my view is in sharp decline. The car wash is unsightly and the speeding cars are gaining momentum, the area should be a 30mph zone like the rest of the village. We need a secondary school, the provision for childcare and schools is lacking.	8/9/2015 8:38 PM
11	Some of the above not relevant to us e.g. schools and nurseries. Our preference would be to preserve the semi rural aspects of Mayford, given the excellent facilities and services in Woking and Guildford.	8/9/2015 7:27 PM
12	both pubs are awful and badly need management that can produce decent food/coffee etc. Sadly missed opportunity some years ago for tennis club. We don't need any car washes! It seems I must respond with I am completely satisfied with the two we have? or should I say the opposite to have them removed? Certainly no more please	8/9/2015 7:12 PM
13	If I were much younger , with children, my answers could well be quite different. However, as an OAP with own transport I am very happy with Mayford as it is. My only complaint - speeding on Saunders Lane.	8/9/2015 7:01 PM
14	Mayford is a joy. It is close to all conveniences of Woking but keeps a village feel. The Heaths offer plenty of outdoor opportunities for all but cycle and bus routes need bettering	8/9/2015 6:04 PM
15	Sorry I find this question confusing. I am interpreting this as what Mayford currently offers v what I want it to offer. I think that's what you mean. It means in some cases a high score means I want it, and in others a high score means I don't! Incidentally, I would very much like Mayford to have an ATM. This is a right pain currently. Trains - good service in theory, but we were upset when the trains reduced from 2 per hour to one per hour off peak.	8/9/2015 6:01 PM
16	Some of these questions are not really relevant to Mayford as there are no retail facilities. We Iso do not have children of school age.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
17	We find it completely incomprehensible that there are absolutely no facilities for pedestrians and cyclists. One is supposed to always use a car (not very environmentally great) and gets shouted at when cycling....Quote: "You should not be here!! You don't even pay road tax!!" while running an errand by very civilized city bike, exercising and leaving the 3 polluting cars (which road tax are being paid for) in the garage/driveway.... Also, the fact that an evening dog walk should be executed while wearing high visibility gear, torch & flashing dog collar (dog, not us) seems slightly....last century?	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
18	don't really understand the questions	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
19	That sounds complacent but had the Prey Heath Rd pavement under the railway bridge not been completed I would have ticked large changes required!	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
20	I like Mayford the way it is. We are well positioned to use facilities in both Woking and Guildford. Worplesdon station (which should have been called Mayford station) is a useful transport link. More cycle paths would be helpful but, frankly, I don't see how they could be usefully incorporated into the existing road network.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
21	Road past Mayford Arms should be 30 mph zone not 40 mph because vehicles approach the eastern small round-about too fast considering the blind corner as they enter Westfield Road. Also proper provision should be made for the school run pickup/drop-off of girls near to the Mayford Arms, especially the provision of better street lighting and slow-down warnings for the dark winter mornings and evenings. It is short-sighted to encourage cycling without making provision for the separation of cyclists from motorists, especially in Westfield Road.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
22	Vehicle wash facilities should be limited to Petrol stations only other than doing it yourself and gaining some exercise in the process.	8/9/2015 3:28 PM
23	The spring bulb planting always give the impression that people care about how the village looks, it is a pity we don't extend this to the rest of the year. The village pond could be made more to look more interesting; it has become overgrown recently. Residents should be more thoughtful about allowing overgrown hedges as this narrows the footpaths around Mayford.	8/9/2015 3:20 PM

24	Footpath to worplesdon train station.	8/9/2015 3:10 PM
25	I would not like to add any more facilities to Mayford as this might make it more attractive to future developers. I definitely do not want any improvement of the roads for this reason. With regards to train services, I would like more than two trains an hour from Worplesdon to Guildford and vice versa. If there was a coffee shop I would use it and the same with a butchers or a bakers.	8/9/2015 3:03 PM
26	random policies are deplorable eg a road like prey heath used by pedestrians has a 40 m speed restriction and no pavement and other local road have speed restrictions and pavements ! not enough shops forcing people to use their cars even more . no mayford " village "	8/9/2015 2:54 PM

Q5 Please provide your support for the following changes within Mayford itself

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0



	Strongly object	Object	Neutral	Support	Strongly support	Total	Weighted Average
New Housing within existing developed areas	23.85% 26	9.17% 10	33.94% 37	27.52% 30	5.50% 6	109	2.82
New Housing on Green Belt areas	91.74% 100	5.50% 6	2.75% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	109	1.11
New Shops within existing developed areas	14.68% 16	5.50% 6	38.53% 42	35.78% 39	5.50% 6	109	3.12
New Shops on Green Belt areas	88.07% 96	8.26% 9	2.75% 3	0.92% 1	0.00% 0	109	1.17
New School within existing developed areas	15.60% 17	6.42% 7	40.37% 44	30.28% 33	7.34% 8	109	3.07
New School on Green Belt areas	64.22% 70	15.60% 17	11.93% 13	8.26% 9	0.00% 0	109	1.64
New indoor Sports and Recreation Facilities within existing developed areas	31.19% 34	12.84% 14	31.19% 34	22.02% 24	2.75% 3	109	2.52
New indoor Sports and Recreation Facilities on Green Belt areas	76.15% 83	13.76% 15	7.34% 8	2.75% 3	0.00% 0	109	1.37
New outdoor Sports and Recreation Facilities within existing developed areas	27.52% 30	7.34% 8	32.11% 35	31.19% 34	1.83% 2	109	2.72
New outdoor Sports and Recreation Facilities on Green Belt areas	75.23% 82	11.01% 12	9.17% 10	4.59% 5	0.00% 0	109	1.43

#	If you wish, please provide any supporting comments for your choices:	Date
1	Mayford is a village, once used mainly for agricultural purposes. Green Belt land. It is a buffer between Woking and Guildford. Villages once provided infant schools for the community. I cannot think of any comparable size village that has been expected to facilitate a school on the scale of the Egley Road application, PLUS a leisure facility of this magnitude. It is quite outrageous. Lets re furbish Highlands school and leave the running track in Sheerwater.	8/10/2015 12:11 PM
2	Whilst I object to a new school within the green belt area, if it's a fait accompli so be it but I object most strongly to new housing within the green belt. My fear is the new school would be the thin end of the wedge.	8/10/2015 11:49 AM
3	You cannot fit a quart into a pint mug without overflow/flooding - this is what WBC are trying to do which will lead to the destruction of this beautiful countryside.	8/10/2015 10:41 AM
4	Whilst I have ticked "Neutral" for development within existing developed areas I do have concerns about overloading our already stretched infrastructure. Therefore I am not automatically in support of ALL development within existing areas. I am however in support of limited development as long as it is within already developed areas.	8/10/2015 9:53 AM
5	There are already many indoor and outdoor recreational facilities within a very short drive from Mayford. The current playing fields and recreation ground are sufficient for a small village.	8/10/2015 8:37 AM
6	Green belt should be untouched. There is plenty of space on grey or existing zoned land.	8/9/2015 9:37 PM
7	Mayford needs a secondary school.	8/9/2015 8:39 PM
8	Eating into the Green belt is the easy answer to the increased population pressures, but smarter, more intelligent solutions need to be found that help us sustain the open green areas; the wildlife sanctuaries; the natural beauty of the area. I live in Saunders Lane near the railway line and despite the road traffic, it is a lovely area - with regular sightings of deer, rabbits, owls, woodperckers, jays hawks even parakeets! We must preserve our greenbelt, for all of us.	8/9/2015 7:39 PM
9	keep Mayford green.	8/9/2015 6:14 PM
10	Having a school this did of Woking is a good idea with relevant sporting facilities necessary for a successful school. However commercial sporting and recreational facilities enticing several thousand extra persons a week is not required given that a perfectly good and renovated recreational facility can be found a mile away.	8/9/2015 6:07 PM
11	I am totally against any sort of development on the green belt	8/9/2015 3:37 PM
12	No development please. There are perfectly adequate sports facilities in Woking and, especially, Guildford. How many running tracks does a locality need? The transport infrastructure in particular makes more development unsustainable. I suspect that part of the reason for the housing "crisis" is the amount of money flowing into the UK property market from overseas. Tackling the demand side may have a positive impact.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
13	What concerns me is the tendency for inadequate parking and inadequate safe access to be provided along with developments. The traffic problems experienced around Mayford Green will simply become worse if action is not taken to improve the traffic flow and access on and off Egley Road, plus proper provision for cyclists and pedestrians, is not made before developments are completed. The problems around 'six-ways' round-about north of Woking will pale in comparison - and we see the Council plan is only to start fixing that mess in 2017 or later.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
14	I do not believe that additional recreation facilities are required in or around Mayford. Both Woking and Guildford offer sufficient facilities. Surrey University facilities are extremely good and significantly underused.	8/9/2015 3:28 PM
15	The local roads and bridges will not take the extra traffic caused by such development. It would appear that the council have no plans to add a service slip road to feed the proposed secondary school and sports facility. The railway bridges are constantly in need of repair; they were never designed to take heavy traffic.	8/9/2015 3:20 PM
16	I don't believe there should be any building at all on Green Belt Land as that is not what it is supposed to be for	8/9/2015 3:03 PM
17	Mayford is a lovely village and would be totally spoiled if it were to become a suburb of Woking and lose its village status and the infrastructure is not able to support the large scale developments that WBC are proposing.	8/9/2015 2:56 PM

Q6 Please advise how you feel about the amount of information provided to you by MVS on development impacting Mayford

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0

	Too little(require more information/detail)	Just the right amount and detail	Too much(require less information/detail)	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	11.93% 13	85.32% 93	2.75% 3	109	2.82

#	Please comment if you wish:	Date
1	I have been very surprised only recently just how serious the plans of WBC to destroy our village and local area which only makes me feel that there is bad practice and corruption going on between the interested parties.	8/10/2015 6:44 PM
2	A lot of residents like ourselves, did not at first realise we were dealing with separate planning applications. A lot of my neighbours, particularly elderly ones had no idea about the facilities the leisure centre was proposing, the running tracks being used well into the evening, and at weekends with a PA system operating or the amount of spectators it would encourage. The campaign was slow taking off.	8/10/2015 1:00 PM
3	I have been satisfied in the past but feel the performance of MVS over the current HVS planning application, and the GB consultation, is completely inadequate	8/10/2015 12:35 PM
4	Information has come far too late, other areas discussed this and put posters up ages ago. A lot of people who have not joined or do not come to the meetings are lethargic and unaware of the impact these changes will make. Every Mayford house should have had an A4 letter Explaining everything .	8/10/2015 12:34 PM
5	Be good to have names and contact details for personnel in planning with responsibility for key decisions so they make be petitioned individually	8/10/2015 11:14 AM
6	Please note I have only very recently joined MVS therefore I have limited experience of the Society.	8/10/2015 9:59 AM
7	Think you are doing a great job however shorter and less frequent emails would probably generate a better response rate.	8/10/2015 9:41 AM
8	Very grateful for the attention and information given to members. Vital for keeping the village just as it is.	8/10/2015 8:38 AM
9	Doing a great job. Thank you. Think we need to lobby all councillors not just our own ?	8/9/2015 9:42 PM
10	Need it more in advance	8/9/2015 8:51 PM
11	the frequency is too much but the detail is fine. Too many emails just recently but can understand why, just found it a little tiresome	8/9/2015 7:42 PM
12	Not sure if MVS new anything about athletics track/ leisure centre but certainly WBC should have let us know.	8/9/2015 7:17 PM
13	Please always put required action in the first paragraph!	8/9/2015 6:06 PM
14	Very helpful templates for writing letters to the council	8/9/2015 3:37 PM
15	The information on the HVS was inconclusive with very little about the Leisure Facilities. No strong arguments were put about alternative locations nor about inappropriate use of Council funds on this project. Very poor and disappointing communication all round which gave the impression that MVS supported the development.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
16	Well done. You do a great job and it is very much appreciated.	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
17	Turning the info into a personalised letter was quite time consuming,if allowed a quick copy and pace would have been easier	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
18	The Society has done a great job with respect to the current planning proposals. Great campaign and useful and helpful information and support.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
19	To the best of my knowledge it has always acted in the best interests of the Village.	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
20	MVS is doing an excellent and most important job in looking after the interests of the local people.	8/9/2015 3:08 PM
21	For those who use email. Not sure about those who do not.	8/9/2015 3:05 PM
22	Until recently, we didn't get any information at all. We feel much more included in the community when we know what is going on!	8/9/2015 3:03 PM
23	Often more summaries are required. If letters are required then letting us have examples	8/9/2015 2:56 PM

Q7 Please advise what you think the right price should be for MVS annual membership

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0

	£5(Current price)	£8	£10	£12	£15	More than £15	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	39.45% 43	11.01% 12	40.37% 44	0.00% 0	6.42% 7	2.75% 3	109	8.40

#	Please comment if you wish:	Date
1	I think an annual membership fee within the range of £5-10 could be acceptable, as long as an increase doesn't put off people from joining.	8/10/2015 9:11 PM
2	Membership fee should cover expected expenditure as well as providing for a reserve of funds for future campaigns.	8/10/2015 4:34 PM
3	The membership has never altered since it started. I think doubling it is still good value, and still an affordable price.	8/10/2015 1:00 PM
4	this would be a small price to pay for an effective community voice which has the resources to rigorously represent the community on important planning matters such as those that face us now.	8/10/2015 12:35 PM
5	I don't have sufficient information to adequately comment on this. £5 is certainly not prohibitive to me	8/10/2015 11:51 AM
6	We feel the current price is very reasonable, but if the Society needs more money to carry out its work then we have no objection to the membership fee being raised.	8/10/2015 11:49 AM
7	£5 fine now but if legal or any other professional advice is required more frequently then I would agree to an increase to £15 but imagine that not everyone would be happy with that - what a surprise!	8/10/2015 11:05 AM
8	Have no idea what the infrastructure costs are however it is a small price to safeguard the area.	8/10/2015 9:41 AM
9	I think where there is a specific exceptional major issue to debate (I.e. Green Belt) there should be an opportunity to ask for a one off supplement (maybe optional) to take on the debate, or have a special fund raising event to help funding.	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
10	Happy to pay within reason whatever needed. Hook hesth is I think £20 a year	8/9/2015 9:42 PM
11	Difficult to answer. Have the society sufficient funding for any problem which may arise between the dates for subscriptions.	8/9/2015 9:24 PM
12	I am unaware of how much expenses are needed to run the society and thus feel that the amount should be nomibal	8/9/2015 8:51 PM
13	Additional sum as required to employ specialist services, eg, lawyer, planning specialist.	8/9/2015 8:07 PM
14	perhaps keep the rate low and provide opportunity to pay additional 'donation'	8/9/2015 7:49 PM
15	I'm not sure about a price but I wouldn't want the people that work hard on our behalf to be out of pocket.	8/9/2015 6:50 PM
16	Depends how much it costs to run.	8/9/2015 6:49 PM
17	It depends on MVS's scope and what residents would get for their money. £10-£15 would be ok, but this is a good question to test price sensitivity. It is probably more important to have higher membership to ensure that views are represented.	8/9/2015 6:45 PM
18	The right price is what it costs per head to do the (excellent) job you do. If the current price is not sufficient just explain why you need more. For example to pay for an independent borough-wide survey of other possible sites for housing, including much smaller ones, to illustrate the disproportionate weight being given to large developers and the disproportionate burden imposed on Mayford.	8/9/2015 6:10 PM
19	I don't mind it going up a bit if it is required, but probably £10 tops.	8/9/2015 6:06 PM
20	But I will pay more if it is needed to protect Mayford from development	8/9/2015 3:37 PM
21	N/A Action rather than money. However money could increase without issue if there was some action supporting it.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
22	To provide a fighting fund. Discounted for OAP	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
23	Would pay more if finances are impeding society activities, or to increase the breadth of activities.	8/9/2015 3:35 PM
24	Make a paypal donation link where people can give unlimited individual amounts - periodically put the link in the newsletters/emails.	8/9/2015 3:32 PM
25	It would be timely to build up a 'fighting fund'	8/9/2015 3:31 PM

26	The right price depends upon the needs of the Society. If more money is needed to continue to mount an effective campaign (eg to engage a planning consultant or legal support) then I am sure that the membership will be happy to pay more.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
27	But happy to consider whatever the committee feels is necessary to support the work that should be done - £5 seems very littel and £15 per annum is not a lot	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
28	Although we would be happy to contribute additional monies to 'fighting funds' as and when / if required and depending upon the situation - as recent draft DPD proposals - in order to safe guard the village.	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
29	Its very good value at £5	8/9/2015 3:26 PM
30	No idea, really!	8/9/2015 3:26 PM
31	Would be prepared to pay more to fight off the grab for green belt.	8/9/2015 3:05 PM
32	The society needs fund to assist it in fighting off developmental threats to the village.	8/9/2015 2:57 PM
33	Happy to support 10 pounds as long as very open where monies are spent	8/9/2015 2:56 PM

Q8 Please advise how you feel about MVS's stance on development in Mayford

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0

	Too aggressive(more openness to change required)	Just right	Too passive(more challenge to development required)	Total	Weighted Average
(no label)	5.50% 6	71.56% 78	22.94% 25	109	3.35

#	Please comment if you wish:	Date
1	Too little too late. There seems to be an air of inevitability that the application for the school will be passed, but we may be able to save the green belt. This is no fighting spirit!	8/10/2015 1:00 PM
2	in the past i have felt the MVS stance has been fine; however i am now very concerned about the GB consultation and teh HVS leisure centre proposal and the possibility of the WBC changing our semi-rural environment forever in pursuing its development agenda; MVS is not taking a strong enough lead in this as evidenced by the community groups that are forming outside MVS	8/10/2015 12:35 PM
3	Again a lot of passion now, where was it a while ago ??	8/10/2015 12:34 PM
4	Bit borderline between 'Just Right' and 'Too Passive'. My comments having read MVS bulletins on the school development resulted in my letter being classified as 'neutral' as I only strongly objected to the Leisure Centre factor.	8/10/2015 11:05 AM
5	However I feel that the M.V.S. requires more feedback and drive from the membership who, I feel, are happy to sit back and let someone else do the running.	8/10/2015 10:30 AM
6	I thought the MVS letter to the council was very well written and covered the issues very well and the update on responses was helpful.	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
7	A little too aggressive.	8/9/2015 9:48 PM
8	Do Whatever we can	8/9/2015 9:42 PM
9	Aggressive is not really the right description. Perception is that MVS just objects to any change at all.	8/9/2015 9:37 PM
10	I am probably less perturbed than the MVS stand, but glad that there is a group doing the research and fighting with rigour to uphold the standards of scrutiny.	8/9/2015 7:49 PM
11	The tone of some of the emails which have been sent out do not seem to leave space for alternative Views.	8/9/2015 6:49 PM
12	We need to be careful not to just come across as NIMBYs.	8/9/2015 6:45 PM
13	Essential to remain reasoned and credible. Stance could be influenced by the degree of freedom the Council has. What sanctions, if any, does the Council face if it fails to reach the housing target ? If the targets are unreasonable it will take some independent-minded councils to get them changed.	8/9/2015 6:10 PM
14	I was disappointed with the initial acceptance of the proposed school and recreation facilities as it was always going to have a negative impact on the village	8/9/2015 3:37 PM
15	Not enough consideration given to those who will be affected. Controlling arm of the Society not remotely affected.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
16	See above. Letter writing and putting up boards is one thing, social media does not always work in this type of communication. Not enough notice being taken of offers to help by residents - especially those we specialist skills.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
17	Believe that individuals should be able to develop their own properties, but that wholesale development of the Green Belt is not appropriate.	8/9/2015 3:35 PM
18	It seems to us to have been just about right over the last 20 years - but other than one or two Planning submissions we have not had much involvement until the recent draft DPD.	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
19	Legal action should be taken if necessary at the earliest appropriate time.	8/9/2015 3:05 PM
20	I am very grateful for the MVS	8/9/2015 3:03 PM


**Q9 THIS QUESTION HAS NOW BEEN
REMOVED**

Answered: 0 Skipped: 109

#	Responses	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q10 Consider the situation whereby a development proposal goes against one of MVS principles (e.g. building on the green belt), however Society members may actually support the proposal. Should MVS object to the proposal (in line with it's principles) or consider the opinion of it's members?

Answered: 107 Skipped: 2




Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, object as there should be no exceptions to the principles	34.58% 37
No, adopt a neutral position if there is a split of opinion (but never support)	17.76% 19
No, adopt a neutral position if there is a split of opinion, and if the significant majority of members support, also support the proposal	47.66% 51
Total	107

#	Please comment if you wish:	Date
1	I think the MVS should always represent the views of its members.	8/10/2015 9:11 PM
2	The principles can only ever be those of the members at the time so a review every now and again will help ensure that MVS represnts the members.	8/10/2015 4:34 PM
3	I think up to now the attitude has been to block every planning application without giving it real thought, as to whether it would really be that detrimental or whether it would actually enhance.	8/10/2015 1:00 PM
4	i dont understand the implication that MVS can have principles that do any more than serve the wishes of its residents and members. MVS should be responsive to the wishes of its community, and represent them at WBC level.	8/10/2015 12:35 PM
5	The area of Green Belt around Mayford is comparatively small and could easily be completely swallowed up with new development on it.	8/10/2015 11:49 AM
6	Isn't one of MVS's main principles to preserve the Green Belt? Why join the Society if you disagree with this. Of course not everyone has the same opinions, but if you join a Soc. you accept that you may not always agree with decisions.	8/10/2015 11:05 AM
7	I believe that the M.V.S. was originally formed to keep residents advised on local issues and development proposals. and to keep residents updated as to what the plans actually mean. I was horrified at the M.V.S. meeting in the village hall, when one of the audience got up and accused the Woking B.C. of being corrupt (this being about the proposed school on Egley Rd. now becoming a sports centre. Having now read in the local Surrey Advertiser about the Supermarket project in Burpham and the requested changes to the original planning application, I believe that the Mayford residents need the M.V.S. more than ever before.	8/10/2015 10:30 AM

8	This is difficult as it really depends on the percentage for or against. A significant minority (either way) should go along with the majority or consider their position.	8/10/2015 10:29 AM
9	I have to confess I find this quite a difficult question to answer. Part of me feels that, for example, if every member (or the large majority) of MVS supported a development then it would seem a bit strange if MVS went ahead and objected.	8/10/2015 9:59 AM
10	First, re-consider the principles, then decide on stance. The middle way above selected, because the survey demanded an answer. (By the way, "it's" should be "its".)	8/10/2015 9:20 AM
11	Still want to be kept informed either way.	8/10/2015 9:04 AM
12	This does depend on the overall consensus of views. We should generally go with the majority, but take account of a small majority. Maybe 66%+ go with that view but take into account and debate options with membership if it's closer than that.	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
13	Think you should always canvass members on big issues, unlike HHRA where the committee decides for themselves !	8/9/2015 9:42 PM
14	An Agm should be called on the specific subject and a vote cast by attendees. The position and action should be taken in line with the majority	8/9/2015 8:51 PM
15	Gad that there is a group doing the research and fighting with rigour to uphold the principles and require the authorities to justify proposals and allow proper scrutiny.	8/9/2015 7:49 PM
16	Is the purpose of the society just to protect the Green Belt? Could a more progressive view be taken? For example: To represent the views of the local residents and to influence the enhancement of Mayford's image and services in a considerate way?	8/9/2015 6:45 PM
17	The categories 'support' and 'object' do not convey the weight of reasoning behind them nor the gravity of the outcome. The officers of MVS should reflect all this in their judgements. BUT It seems that there in no option to leave blank answers.	8/9/2015 6:10 PM
18	MVS should be protecting Mayford village despite what some members might think	8/9/2015 3:37 PM
19	MVS should support the views of the affected people, over the views of the few who will not be affected or support the proposal as they have chosen to live in Mayford as it is. The MVS should support the residents who's lives will be ruined over those who feel they would be improved.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
20	49/51 was not a reason to say the school had the support of the majority and for MVS to then curl up.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
21	There could be a significant risk of ostracising members of the community over issues. I feel the issue of the local school which was both supported and opposed, was handled well by the society.	8/9/2015 3:35 PM
22	As this is so important I strongly believe that MVS should object to all development proposal that does not have the 100% backing of the residents of Mayford.	8/9/2015 3:20 PM

Q11 Finally, MVS currently focuses on any development proposals (and breaches of planning) that could impact the character of the Village, working collaboratively with members and the council. Please select as many of the below changes to this focus you would want to see (acknowledging that many of these would only be possible with more volunteers and funds):

Answered: 109 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
NO CHANGE required	42.20% 46
MVS should provide community information (e.g. local events, information about local businesses, "For Sale" board) for those members who are interested	37.61% 41
MVS should organise community events (e.g. Village Fairs, Nature projects, Woodland walks)	33.94% 37
MVS should work with residents to create a Neighbourhood Plan to help shape the future of Mayford	56.88% 62
MVS should leave planning issues and developments to other protest groups	1.83% 2
MVS should spend more time promoting the Village (creating history leaflets, woodland walks, etc)	22.02% 24
MVS should do more fund raising activities to invest more into the public spaces	11.93% 13
Total Respondents: 109	

#	Please comment if you wish:	Date
1	I know people work long hours these days and have little time, but lets try and bring the community together. We would love to get involved in fund raising events etc. Lets keep Mayford alive.	8/10/2015 1:00 PM
2	whilst i do agree that MVS could facilitate many of the above focus areas as part of its mandate, the primary focus for MVS should be to coordinate efforts by the Mayford residents to protect the character of the village and the lifestyle we have chosen in this semi-rural setting. I strongly believe the MVS should be more pro-active in establishing a Neighbourhood Forum and a Neighbourhood Plan and the current GB challenge we are experiencing demonstrates just how urgent this is. i feel MVS shouldbe seeking legal support to mount a professional campaign and it should approach members to assist with funding for this.	8/10/2015 12:35 PM

3	Insufficient information to comment properly. Any change would cost in time and money; do we have the resources?	8/10/2015 11:51 AM
4	MVS does a very good job in keeping residents informed where there is concern. Nature projects, woodland walks etc. could have a detrimental effect on the flora and fauna of the area. People who are really interested in it will find it without help.	8/10/2015 11:49 AM
5	I ticked 'organising community events'. I don't think this should be the sole responsibility of MVS but combined with maybe the Neighbourhood Watch, Village Hall Trustees & Emmanuel some form of community events like Woodland Walks or Tea Party could be a nice way of bringing everyone together.	8/10/2015 11:05 AM
6	Many thanks for all of your efforts, Mayford would be a poorer place without your help.	8/10/2015 10:30 AM
7	Apart from MVS there is little community activity, and there being no church / few shops the opportunity to meet locals is limited. More community activity and gatherings would be welcome.	8/10/2015 9:41 AM
8	As resources permit.	8/10/2015 9:20 AM
9	We need our own MVS councillor with a seat on WBC to tame the ambitions of WBC.	8/10/2015 8:08 AM
10	Easy to tick the boxes but more difficult to achieve. A lot of our community are using the Streetlife website to communicate local events and information. Maybe tap into that as a communication outlet as a start.	8/9/2015 9:58 PM
11	It's always about how much volunteers can do. Would be great to have community events but they have to be organised. We should do a neighbourhood plan - should have done it before as it might help with green belt issue !	8/9/2015 9:42 PM
12	For Mayford to become more of a community we need more activities, why is there no neighbourhood watch for example? Why don't we have a fete or a street party like Jacobs well? Bringing residents together more. Also, on the matter of protesting against development we must beware of "copy and paste" protesting with standard responses being copied by residents on the Woking borough council website as each resident should have their own voice as I believe the objections are taken more seriously and not taken as being "professional objectors" Mayford car wash is a nightmare and my feeling is the MVS seems more concerned with the Saunders lane side of the village than the Guildford road side of the village and we could do with some help down this end as we are having to continually fight with the council and EHO over the noise pollution created by the car wash.	8/9/2015 8:51 PM
13	A 'strategic plan' of our proposals for the future of Mayford would be a powerful statement. If made available to the planning authorities it would mean that our ideas and aims would be taken account of during the development of plans rather than later at the consultation phase.	8/9/2015 7:49 PM
14	Think it is more that MVS could rather than should provide a focus point for information in the area.	8/9/2015 6:49 PM
15	A constructive approach like this will be the best reflection of Mayford residents' views	8/9/2015 6:10 PM
16	I think having a fete or something would promote more of a community spirit. Mayford feels quite disjointed currently. I do know this is a lot of work though.	8/9/2015 6:06 PM
17	Mayford should take a leaf out of Hook Heaths book! Especially regards Neighbourhood forum. Committee members should not have meetings with developers i.e School proposers without the knowledge of the society as a whole. Democracy is the order of the day.	8/9/2015 3:36 PM
18	Would be good to engage the broader community at bi annual events. A greater sense of community may lead to redevelopment and improvement of the public spaces in the area.	8/9/2015 3:35 PM
19	meet twice a year	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
20	Throughout its 25 year history planning & development issues appear to have dominated & with significant success & with the result we see around us. If the committee has time to promote the village as well then that is a bonus.	8/9/2015 3:31 PM
21	Any extra work should be a small element of time spent, so as not to distract from primary focus, however in quieter times, this could be a nice add on	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
22	The current focus is about right. I would be interested in learning more about the advantages / disadvantages of creating a Neighbourhood Plan.	8/9/2015 3:30 PM
23	An occasional Village Fair or similar would be good.	8/9/2015 3:29 PM
24	Again difficult to answer, MVS has done extremely well so far.	8/9/2015 3:26 PM
25	I appreciate that to achieve this it will mean MVS will need more volunteers and funds but it may help to ensure that the village becomes the centre of all our lives not just when there is a threat of development.	8/9/2015 3:20 PM
26	Time for Heathlands Forum to be established include merging with hook heath	8/7/2015 1:49 PM